ORIGINAL OPEN MEETING



Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAR 3 0 2007

DOCKETED BY

UIU

MEMORANDUM

2001 MAR 30 P 3: 32

TO:

THE COMMISSION

AZ CORP COMMISSION

FROM:

Utilities Division

DOCUMENT CONTROL

DATE:

March 30, 2007

RE:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE - APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF AN

ELECTRIC SUPPLY AGREEMENT WITH LUKE AIR FORCE BASE

(DOCKET NO. E-01345A-06-0757)

On December 12, 2006, Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") filed an application for approval of an electric supply agreement with Luke Air Force Base ("Luke"). On December 12, 2006, APS filed a letter waiving the 30-day time clock. On March 27, 2007, APS filed an amended version of the agreement with references to a proposed rate schedule in APS' ongoing rate case removed.

Luke has installed two photovoltaic ("PV") systems (for a total of 325 kW) under APS' Environmental Portfolio Standard Credit Purchase Program to generate a part of its electric load. APS has provided a rebate of \$489,600 for a 100 kW system and will provide a rebate of \$1,011,600 for a 225 kW system to help reduce the cost of the PV systems to \$1,855,131.

Luke currently purchases all of its electric power from APS under APS' rate schedule E-34 (Extra Large General Service). If Luke operates the PV systems, Luke would be purchasing partial requirements service from APS instead of full requirements service. Under APS' current rate schedules, Luke would have to take partial requirements service under E-55 (Partial Requirements Service 3,000 kW or Greater). E-55 was originally designed for customers operating large-scale cogeneration facilities with capacity factors higher than those of PV units. Because of the higher basic service and standby charges on E-55, Luke would pay more for partial electricity requirements under E-55 than it currently pays for full requirements service under E-34, making operation of the PV systems uneconomical for Luke. Therefore, APS has offered Luke an electric supply agreement with terms that would allow operation of the PV systems to be economical for Luke.

The agreement would become effective upon Commission approval and remain in effect for five years. The agreement could be terminated by either party with 30-days notice or by APS if Luke does not operate the PV units for 60 consecutive days other than during planned scheduled maintenance periods. The minimum electric demand contracted for under the agreement would be 9,262 kW. The maximum demand would be 13,893 kW. Luke would have to give 30 days advance notice of any demand above the maximum. Luke does not intend to sell any excess electric energy to APS.

THE COMMISSION March 29, 2007 Page 2

Under the proposed agreement, Luke would pay the Basic Service and Revenue Cycle Service charges (currently \$2.926 per day) from E-34. Supplemental service, defined as demand and energy contracted by Luke to augment the power and energy generated by Luke's PV systems, would be provided under the rates contained on E-34. Those rates currently consist of \$8.943 per kW and \$0.03183 per kWh.

Luke would purchase standby service to have replacement power available when the PV systems are not operating. The monthly delivery charge for standby service would be calculated by multiplying the unbundled delivery charge (currently \$3.943 per kW) from E-34 by the 15-minute integrated kW measured on the generator meter during Luke's monthly peak demand.

In addition, Luke would pay applicable adjustments, such as the Environmental Portfolio Surcharge (currently \$39.00 per month), the Competition Rules Compliance Charge (currently \$0.000338 per kWh), the PSA Adjustor Rate (currently \$0.003987 per kWh), the PSA Surcharge (currently \$0.000554 per kWh), and the PSA Interim Adjustor Rate (currently \$0.007 per kWh).

Using 2006 consumption history and estimating a 20 percent capacity factor for the PV generation, Luke would have paid about \$3,575,000 for the year (\$0.0549/kWh) under the proposed agreement while operating the PV systems, compared to \$3,602,000 (\$0.0548/kWh) under E-34 without operating the PV systems and \$3,624,000 (\$0.0556/kWh) under E-55 with the PV systems.

Staff recommends approval of the proposed agreement, as amended, with Luke.

Staff also analyzed this application in terms of whether there were fair value implications. Compared to APS' total revenues, any impact from this agreement would be de minimus, and any impact on APS' fair value rate base and rate of return would also be de minimus.

Staff also recommends that the Commission specify in its Order that approval of the agreement at this time does not guarantee any future ratemaking treatment of the agreement with Luke.

OV Ernest G. Johnson

Director

Utilities Division

EGJ:BEK:tdp\KOT

ORIGINATOR: Barbara Keene

preformets

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1 MIKE GLEASON 2 Chairman 3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner 4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER Commissioner 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner 6 **GARY PIERCE** Commissioner 7 IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA PUBLIC DOCKET NO.E-01345A-06-0757 8 SERVICE - APPLICATION FOR DECISION NO. 9 APPROVAL OF AN ELECTRIC SUPPLY AGREEMENT WITH LUKE AIR FORCE ORDER 10 BASE. 11 12 13 14 Open Meeting April 11 and 12, 2007 Phoenix, Arizona 15 BY THE COMMISSION: 16 FINDINGS OF FACT 17 Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") is certificated to provide electric service 1. 18 as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona. 19 On December 12, 2006, APS filed an application for approval of an electric supply 2. 20 agreement with Luke Air Force Base ("Luke"). On December 12, 2006, APS filed a letter waiving 21 the 30-day time clock. On March 27, 2007, APS filed an amended version of the agreement with 22 references to a proposed rate schedule in APS' ongoing rate case removed. 23 3. Luke has installed two photovoltaic ("PV") systems (for a total of 325 kW) under 24 APS' Environmental Portfolio Standard Credit Purchase Program to generate a part of its electric 25 load. APS has provided a rebate of \$489,600 for a 100 kW system and will provide a rebate of 26 \$1,011,600 for a 225 kW system to help reduce the cost of the PV systems to \$1,855,131. 27

28

2 3 4

1

- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- 4. Luke currently purchases all of its electric power from APS under APS' rate schedule E-34 (Extra Large General Service). If Luke operates the PV systems, Luke would be purchasing partial requirements service from APS instead of full requirements service. Under APS' current rate schedules, Luke would have to take partial requirements service under E-55 (Partial Requirements Service 3,000 kW or Greater). E-55 was originally designed for customers operating large-scale cogeneration facilities with capacity factors higher than those of PV units. Because of the higher basic service and standby charges on E-55, Luke would pay more for partial electricity requirements under E-55 than it currently pays for full requirements service under E-34, making operation of the PV systems uneconomical for Luke. Therefore, APS has offered Luke an electric supply agreement with terms that would allow operation of the PV systems to be economical for Luke.
- 5. The agreement would become effective upon Commission approval and remain in effect for five years. The agreement could be terminated by either party with 30-days notice or by APS if Luke does not operate the PV units for 60 consecutive days other than during planned scheduled maintenance periods. The minimum electric demand contracted for under the agreement would be 9,262 kW. The maximum demand would be 13,893 kW. Luke would have to give 30 days advance notice of any demand above the maximum. Luke does not intend to sell any excess electric energy to APS.
- 6. Under the proposed agreement, Luke would pay the Basic Service and Revenue Cycle Service charges (currently \$2.926 per day) from E-34. Supplemental service, defined as demand and energy contracted by Luke to augment the power and energy generated by Luke's PV systems, would be provided under the rates contained on E-34. Those rates currently consist of \$8.943 per kW and \$0.03183 per kWh.
- 7. Luke would purchase standby service to have replacement power available when the PV systems are not operating. The monthly delivery charge for standby service would be calculated by multiplying the unbundled delivery charge (currently \$3.943 per kW) from E-34 by the 15-minute integrated kW measured on the generator meter during Luke's monthly peak demand.

Decision N	√o.	

3.

24

25

26

27

28

Decision No.

The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated

March 30, 2007, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve the agreement, as amended.

Decision No.

1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the agreement, as amended, with Luke be and here		
3	is approved.		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDER	RED that approval of the agree	ment at this time does no
5	guarantee any future ratemaking tre	eatment of the agreement with Luk	e.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERE	D that this Decision shall become	effective immediately.
7			
8	BY THE ORDER OF T	THE ARIZONA CORPORATIO	N COMMISSION
9			
10	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	
11	CHAROVIAIV	COMMISSIONER	
12			
13	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER
14			
		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BE	RIAN C McNEIL Executive
15			
15 16		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this
		Director of the Arizona Corp	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17 18		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16171819		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17 18 19 20		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17 18 19 20 21	DISSENT:	Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16171819202122		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DISSENT:	Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DISSENT:	Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DISSENT:	Director of the Arizona Corphereunto, set my hand and cau Commission to be affixed at Phoenix, thisday of	poration Commission, have used the official seal of this the Capitol, in the City of

Decision No.

1 2	SERVICE LIST FOR: Arizona Public Service Company DOCKET NO. E-01345A-06-0757
3	Mr. Robert J. Metli Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
4	One Arizona Center
5	400 East Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
6	Mr. Thomas L. Mumaw
7	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
8	Post Office Box 53999 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999
9	Mr. Ernest G. Johnson
10	Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
11	1200 West Washington
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	Mr. Christopher C. Kempley Chief Counsel
14	Arizona Corporation Commission
15	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	